App. F. IX-4.

192 65

File No. 95-25

Serial Nos. 21-31

Previous References
65 27S-24, 1-18.
64-29, 748

PRESERVED,

Later References
65-16S-27, 1.2.6-8.10.11.
65-19-25, 1.22A. 5.6.9.
11412

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 11

Part B for May 1929

SUBJECT

Abolishing the Bench Court at Chitaldrug from 1.1.29

31

Govt. No. P. 3425 of 27.12.28 withdrawing the 3rd class powers conferred on certain Bench Courts Chitaldrug

B.J. Grant 5/4/29

G. R. S. III—1] 1925-26

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Courts

NOTES.

File No. 95-25

FILE HEADING.

Abolition of Bench Courts

From

Retiary Law Association. Lehitalong

No. Nil Dated 9-6-25 Received 14-6-26

Diary No. 4805 | Enclos. 1 Spare Copies. | Serial No. 21

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards a copy of the resolution passed at the meeting of the Lehitalong Bar Association resolving that the Bench Court at Lehitalong be abolished with effect from 1st July 1926

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In this letter dd 9-3-26 the Dsn. Lehitalong states that there is not enough work for the 3 Special Magistrate's Courts in the Dk and after some experience in the working of the Court if it is found that only 2 Courts are sufficient for the Dk, the Bench Courts at Lehitalong and Davanagere would have to be continued. Hence govt did not abolish the Bench courts in these places

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1881—GPB—30,000—1-8-21

C.D.No 210 p 25

4805

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

[App. F. III—2

Il and Revenue
ecretariat

C5 File No. 95 of 192 5-26

Serial No

Further a copy of the Resolution has been sent to the Dy. Chitaldrug and also to the chief Court

1 The receipt of reports from these 2 officers ie a month may be awaited for some time more (and if no reports are received a reference will be made in the matter.

2 The general question about the action to be taken on resolutions passed by Associations is dealt with in a different file.

3 So far as the present request goes, if the special magistrates have not enough work, there is reason to abolish the Court (as in the case 12 other Courts recently abolished). But there was a lot of feeling exhibited in the Representative assembly in regard to this abolition of Bench Courts. It is perhaps better to leave matters alone, unless the Dist magistrate or the Chief Court move in the matter.

4 The resolution of the Bar Association at Chitaldrug to abolish the Bench Court may not be considered favourably in view of the passing of resolution by R.A. [illegible]

5 I have already noted on several files that Constitutionally & legally, we are not bound to take notice of such reports & they shall be so dealt with. I have a note on this point & Conf.

6 Please see June 1927.

4805 56

3/7

Also Medical Officer's Confidential reports

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

3

that it has been represented to the Bar Assn that there is not sufficient work for the 18 Qno Cor Courts & to refer on this matter with statistics as to whether one of the Courts cd be abolished & a 1st Class Court opened at Durban, the 18 Courts Continuing at both the places.

Mac
5/7/26.

84
7/7 cwj
5.7

Send with draft. - Issue
cwj
6.7

84
8/7/26
cwj
6/7

ISSUE & RESUBMIT.

To The St Margate Chittacking. 22.
Nop 101 D 7.7.26.

Request to report with statistics as to whether one of the St Margate Courts or thereabouts could be abolished and a First Class Court opened at Durban, the 18 Courts Continuing at both the places.

C24

4

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2j

General and Revenue Secretariat | U- File No. 95 | of 1925-26

Serial No. 23

From

The D. M. Chittaldrug. letter no P.R-86/6-10-25

States that from the statistics furnished it is seen that none of the special magistrate's courts also the 2nd have sufficient work and recommends that the special second class court at Chittaldrug may be abolished and the special second class court at Davanagere converted into a first class court with right change of territorial jurisdiction.

Send with reference to para 6 above. The Chitg court may perhaps be consulted. Yes.

L L Secy

14/10/26

1st Asst.

Cag 14/10

SA 15/10

Cag 15/10

4240
15/10

10) I believe we are already corresponding the Chief Comr on the Sea Customs question and then file.

11) A copy of this may be sent to Chief Comr, & he might be told that if considerable time is likely to be required for securing a report on the question for the whole State as called for in No-9, & on the Law's delay Coorg seems to so far as they relate to combining mag & munsiff work, the Coorg question might be dealt with separately & some saving effected such as is indicated in Coorg provided such decision will not clash with the scheme on the Law Delay. Advice of the Sadr may then have to be sought & finally a decision arrived at for Chittaldrug taluk

These are aspects that I do not see Y L Secy might have thought about.

Ag 16/10

NOTE—Contn. Sheet 16/10

Cag 17-10

17/2

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

CS File No. 95 of 1925

Serial No.

12 Issued with draft as approved
8/11/26

13 Issued with a revised draft as instructed
8/11/26

14 Manuscript as revised. Cag 28/10

15 Issued with a typed draft for consideration
Secy 30/10/26. Ltd Secy
ADC 30/10 1st Mar

In part 28/10

4066 3/11

Cag 30/10
3/11 Cag 3/11

16 I think we made a mistake in addressing D-M instead of CC; & they should have been treated as dealt at the time.

17 Please revise draft omitting refce to D-M's letter & setting out the Bar Assn's refn & the State's refn & then call for opinion. This will retrieve the mistake.

18 Please note always to address Chief Court instead of D-M. except in certain matters & where there is precedent for addressing D-M. direct

Lee 5/11/26

19 Manuscript
8/11. Cag 5/11

5/11

20 Issued with draft as directed
8/11/26 ADC 9/11

21) Issue
Cag 9/11

Proceedings of an emergent meeting of the Law Association, Chitaldrug held on 8-12-1926 in the Law Association Room.

The following resolutions were passed unanimously by the members:-Mr.P. Ananthiah Setty in the Chair,

1.All the members of the Law Association unanimously and strongly protest against the rumboured abolition of the Special 2nd class courts at Chitaldrug and Davangere and urge the retention of the Special Second class courts and the abolition of the Bench Magistrates' courts in these places for the following reasons:- (a) In pursuance of the Government order No.P. 4582-92/Cts 95-25-16 dated 24-3-1926, the Bench courts at Chitaldrug and Davangere may be abolished since there are Special courts in both the place and there is not sufficient work for these special courts.

(b) The suggested abolition of the Special 2nd class courts at Chitaldrug and Davangere and the consequent transference of the jurisdiction of the 2nd and 3rd class cases in these places to the 1st class courts would result in great inconvenience and hardship to the aggrieved party; in the first place the right of appeal which the law allows him to the local appellate authority is totally denied to him, in the second place even in cases in which an appeal lies to the Sessions Court at Shimoga the distance and the cost are so prohibitive that he would rather keep back than have recourse to such a costly remedy and either way justice is denied to him.

(c) The present anxiety that the Special 2nd class court at Chitaldrug has not got sufficient work may be obviated by abolishing the Bench Court in this place and by giving this court jurisdiction over 2nd and 3rd class cases of Chitaldrug Taluk in addition to its present jurisdiction.

2. The members of the Law Association are further of opinion that in case the Government are not inclined to retain the Special 2nd class court at Chitaldrug the said court may be located at Chellakere which is in the centre of the four Taluks over which it has got jurisdiction and the position of which affords greater facilities to the litigant public.

3. It is further resolved that copies of the above resolutions be forwarded to the Secretary, Legislative Department, th the Chief Court and to the District Magistrate, Chitaldrug.

(Sd) M. Ananthiah Setty,
President, Law Association.

Chitaldrug.
9th December 1926.

" C O P Y."

Secretary, Law Association,
C H I T A L D R U G.

Serial No.

24. To the Registrar Chief Court
ROP 2153 D 20.11.26.
Calls for the opinion of the Chief Court

Secy 23/4

Serial No.

25 From

The Regr Chief Court letter no 8, dt 12 of 3/1/27 states that the question of abolishing ~~one~~ one special magistrate's court at Bhutalang may be considered along with the general question of the amalgamation of civil and magisterial functions or with the redistribution of territorial jurisdiction among the existing magistrates in all the dts with a view to provide adequate work to the several courts.

2nd 26 In another connection the Regr dt. has been putup requesting Mr. C.S. Dorasamy Iyer to go into the question of giving adequate work to the special magistrates. A copy of the proposed Municipal magistrates scheme will be also be forwarded for his opinion. This question may therefore be kept by till the opinion of Mr. C.S. D. Iyer is received — or orders are passed on the recommendations of the Committee.

8/1/27 LLK Secy 7/1 — Cas 7.1.

1st Member. — Sd 8/1 Cas 10/1

7126 10/1

27 Yes — The Asst Secy will please speak to me re Cases recommended.

Sd 10/1/27

2nd 10/1

28) Submitted — Received the instructions of the Member. (1) To take up matters of legislation

(2) To write to Chief Court to take action in matters which he may consider expedient,

(3) To ask Ch. Ct to obtain sanction where that is necessary

(4) To obtain orders re [illegible] proposals involving extra expenditure + Cas 10/1

2nd RSC 1/11

NOTE Contn. Sheet

4523

(8

WD 01228—GPB—37,500—1-9-26—
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

C5 File No 95 of 1925-26

Serial No.

26

From.

Messrs Whitehall.

Letter no 1185 dt 1-4-27

Submits proposals for abolishing the Bench courts at Whitehall and Dawanagere and the redistribution of the jurisdiction between the special magistrates' courts at Whitehall and Dawanagere.

Submd

29 A copy of the above letter may perhaps be sent to the Registrar, Chief. Court for consideration along with the question of the improvements in the working of the special magistrates' courts

A

B/ 1/4/27 APR 14/4

30 A: may perhaps be done.

(Secy L.D.) 1st Memr

8/ 17/4

8-4 18/4

8/ 19/4

31 Noted 20/4/27

8-4 20/4

1074/ 19/4

8/ 21/4

Subd with a draft.

Noted 21/4 APR 22/4

Done 28/ 26/4

NOTE- Contn. Sheet

4523

(9)

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

CS File No. 95 of 1925-26

Serial No.	
27	To The Registrar, Chief Court, No. 5695-D/26/4(2) Forwards a copy of the resolution referred to in Sl. 26 supra for consideration along with the question of the improvements in the working of the Spl. Magistrates' Courts on which the opinion of the Chief Court has been invited.
	From
	The Secretary Land Association Ltd. Tavoy Forwards copy of a resolution passed at the special general meeting of the Land Association Ltd. Tavoy representing the immediate abolition of the Bench Court at Tavoy. Ltd
	It The previous resolution on the subject was referred to the Chief Court along with the question of improvements in the working of the Special Magistrates' Courts. Mr. Justice Dorswami Iyer who goes from this special duty in this report has recommended that the Bench Courts in the Dawei headquarters towns may be abolished while those at

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—5,000—30-3-27

2757

(10

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No: of 192 .

Serial No.

from P 1630 44/25 2-27-25
dy 24.5.27

District headquarters towns may be retained. Govt passed orders that the working of the Bench courts in the various parts of the State may be examined and such of them as are found to be unnecessary or unsatisfactory may be abolished. The resolution of the Law Association may perhaps be sent to the Chief Court for favour of opinion.
Submitted for orders

3/12/27

35 The resolution is also to the effect that the matter may be placed by letter before Hon'ble Justice C. Srinivasa Iyer when he inspects the courts at Chitaldrug.

36 The Chief Court may be Consulted on the resolution of the ~~Bar~~ Law Association and if the Bench Court ~~Case~~ may be abolished.

Legal D) 6/12

1st Hon'ble

4669/27
8/12

37) 7-12

38) Yes
9/12

9/12

9.12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324 -GPB-60,000-24-5-27

2757

App. F. III—2] (11)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 95 of 1925-26

Serial No.

35) Law asstt adcate

B/
13/12
13/12

40) Same
28
14/12

29

Re: The Aryas. Act
Pres 3258 of 17/12/27.
Calls for the opinion of
the judges of the Chief Court on
the proceedings in the matter
of the abolition of the Bench
Court at Chilas dirg.

Court
28/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

Cm. 631
27-8.

12 8/1/928

G. R. S. 45]

REPLY.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

271 No. 451 C5, dated the 19/7/1928

No. Roc. 631/27-28, dated the 21 · 8 · 1928.

To

The Registrar Chief Court

CHIEF COURT 20 JUL 1928 OF MYSORE.

To.

The

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Law Dept

SUBJECT.

Re the abolition of the Bench Court at Chitaldrug

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to my D.O. No. Roc 417/27-28 dated 1st August 1928 addressed to the Secretary to Government, Law Department in the matter of abolition of the Bench court at Goribidnur

I have the honour to be

Your most obedient servant

Registrar

~~OFFICE MEMORANDUM.~~

~~The undersigned is~~ directed to invite attention to the marginally noted communication and ~~to request that the~~ General and Revenue Secretariat may be informed, in the column opposite, of the cause of delay in furnishing a reply and of the approximate date on which a reply may be expected.

~~He is also~~ to request that the General and Revenue Secretariat may be favoured with a reply ~~to this reminder not later than the~~ at an early date

*No. 3258, dated 17/12/27

By order etc

A Subba Rao

Asst to Secy to Govt

Submitted for perusal and approved this will be laid on the file in the establishment of the Itinerant Court in the State

*Previous reminder.

WD 01239—GPB—3,750—4-9-26.

451 Sent up a copy of the G.O. directing abolition of Bench etc employees whose Spl. Courts are created by 25[illegible]

28/8 Ans 28

Nov 28

Encl

Encl allocation is limited to Flag "X" and Flag L

Copy of the foregoing Flag "L"

Please see the latest quarterly returns - Encl CB file 23.28. Partridge

The following particulars are taken from the returns for the quarter ending March 1928:

	Opening balance.	Receipts	Disposal	Pending Balance at the end of the quarter
1st Cl. Magis Court, Chitaldrug -	30	41	33	38
2nd Cl. Magis Court Chitaldrug -	27	24	36	15

It is clear from these figures that neither of the two Magis Courts at Chitaldrug has sufficient work. On the principle laid down in the G.O. of 24.3.26 the Bench Court at the

General and Revenue Secretariat. (b) File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

Place has to be abolished. ~~and~~ Even if the 2nd Cl- Court is made an itinerating Court or shifted to Chittagong according to the original scheme, there will be no difficulty as jurisdiction over cases triable by the Bench Magt- Court be transferred to the 1st- Cl- Court. But it is a point for consideration, whether a Bench Court at the St- Headquarters may be abolished, if it is working satisfactorily. The Bar Association has raised this question without saying anything against the working of the Court.

Rules for orders as to whether the ~~the third Court~~ question may be brought up for consideration when the third Court proposals regarding ~~the~~ itinerant Courts are received or whether the Bar Association may be informed that Govt- do not consider it desirable to abolish the Bench Courts at St- headquarters.

3rd Member) 7/9

44) A. Papers to Hy Dy 13/9/28.

45) Note A. 13/9

2767 8/9

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

Separated in view of the reply independently received—

Serial No.

46) The file relating to Trivandrum proposals was is marked — For orders — In the revised proposals, the Chief Court have suggested the abolition of Bench courts in places to which Magistrates have been appointed. There is nothing said about the Chirakkal Bench Court.

286 No 316/28-29 of 11/12/28 from the Registrar Chief Court recommending the abolition of the Bench Court at Chirakkal—

47) D/Sr submitted for orders—

48) Orders have not yet been passed on the revised proposals of the Chief Court about the Trivandrum Scheme, information about the cost involved is not having been received yet from the Chief Court.

(1) Type of Sr-II as revised
(2) Are there only 10 Magistrates now, viz those appointed in the month of 2-7-28 ?
Is the 2nd Cl- Magistrate's jurisdiction
(3) now commencement with that of the Bench Courts in respect of cases made by that Court ?

Dr 18/12

Dr 19/12

49) Draft submitted - As regards (2) it is noted that there are only ten magistrates -

50) As regards (3) vide Magy- Notifn No P 468/ Ch 275-24-7 of 31.7.25 furnished by repe- The reply now given seems to be in the affirmative -

51) At present the I Class Magte, Chirakkal has jurisdiction over all classes of cases in the Chirakkal Tk. It is not clear if the idea is to extend any the third class cases triable by the Bench of the II class magte

Dr 19/12

Dr 20/12

NOTE—Contn..sheet

Typist
Receipt entered
27/12

6820
27/12

Serial No.

53) Secy Opn submd

27/12 Secy) 27/12

3rd Comm Sr.

2/1/12

Secy) 27/12

apply 27/12

Forwarded with Regts memorandum

Secy) 24/12

Issue

24-12.

29 To the Regr Belgaum Court.
.. Dist Collr Belgaum.
.. Supt of Police
.. Comptroller.
G.O. No P 3415-68 & 27-12-28.
Directs that the Benches Court at Belgaum be abolished from 1-1-1929 and that the Spl second class Magte at Belgaum shall exercise jurisdiction from that date in respect of cases hitherto triable by the Benches Court.

30 To the Compiler
Regr Belgaum Court
Dist Collr Belgaum.
No P 3420-22 & 27-12-28
Directs that from 1-1-29 the Spl first class Magte's Court at Belgaum shall cease to exercise and the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

second class magistrate court at Chitaldrug shall have jurisdiction in respect of all second and third class cases arising in the Chitaldrug taluk

To the Compiler
No P. 3425 dt 27-12-28

Notifies the withdrawal from 1-1-29 of the powers conferred on the Bench magistrates of the Bench court Chitaldrug.

To the Registrar Court
" Dvr Chitaldrug
No P 3426-7 dt 27-12-28
Forwards copy of the above

Press Sec
31
B
28/1

35995

P 84

Chitaldrug.

9th December 1926.

m

The Joint Secretary,
Law Association,
Chitaldrug.



The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

6387
20/12

r,

~~I beg to send herewith a copy of the proceedings~~

f the Law Association, Chitaldrug, held on the 8th instan

or favorable consideration.

I beg to remain,
SIR,
Your most obedient servant

Secretary, Law Association
CHITALDRUG.

rwd for perusal.
the opinions of the Hon'ble "R" Chief Court have been called for. Dyaspada re
reply will be forwarded

the proposal to abolish one of the
15/12 Sub Magistrate courts at Chitaldrug, make the Sowcarpet Court into 1st Class
Court & retain Bench Courts at both the places. (Please see enclosure file)
The Law Assn protests against the abolition of the Sub Courts
& urge the abolition of the Bench Courts. This may be considered
along with the recommendation of the Chief Court
16/12 received.

52547

4805
P

4295-25-21



From

The Secretary,

Law Association,

C H I T A L D R U G.



The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Local and Legislative Departments,

B A N G A L O R E.

Re abolition of Bench Courts.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the resolution of the meeting of the above Association held on 8th June 1926. It is requested that early steps be taken towards the achievement of the above purpose.

Chitaldrug.
9th June 1926.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

M. B. Krishna Rao

Secretary, Law Association,

C H I T A L D R U G.

(20)

Proceedings of the meeting of the members of the Law Association held on the 8th June 1926.

M E M B E R S.

1.	Mr.M.Ananthiah Setty P.	2.	Mr. B.Venkatasamanna A.
3.	Mr.C.Chennakeshaviah P.	4.	Mr. B.Ramachendra Rao P.
5.	Mr.B.Jivan Rao P.	6.	Mr. W. Tirumala Rao P.
7.	Mr.B.Narasinga Rao P.	8.	Mr. L.Srinivasa Rao P.
9.	Mr.S.Soundararajiengar P.	10.	Mr. K.B.Krishna Murti P.
11.	Mr.A.Singrachar P.	12.	Mr. H.Srinivasa Rao P.
13.	Mr.R.Srinivasa Rao A.	14.	Mr. N. Bhima Rao. P.
15.	Mr.C.Khande Rao P.	16.	Mr. H.J.Krishna Rao P.
17.	Mr. K.Bhima Rao A.	18.	Mr. C.Narasimhamurti P.
19.	Mr. T.S.Ramaswamiah P.	20.	Mr. N.Anandatirtha Rao
21.	Mr.K.S.Keshavamurti Rao P.	22.	Mr. H. Srinivasa Jois P.
23.	Mr.K.Hanumanta Rao A.	24.	Mr. M.B.Krishna Rao P.
25.	Mr. Md: Imam A.	26.	Mr. H.S.Venkatachaliah P.
27.	Mr. H. Rangiah P.		

Proposed by Mr. C. Chennakeshaviah and seconded by Mr. M.B.Krishna Rao that the court of the Bench of Magistrates at Chitaldrug be abolished as there is not sufficient work for the 1st and 2nd class Special Magistrates at Chitaldrug.

In discussing this proposition Mr. C. Chennakeshaviah specially brought to notice the fact that while according to the Government order No.P.4532-92/Cts 95-25-16 dated 24th March 1926, Bench courts in places where the Special Courts have not got sufficient work are ordered to be abolished upon the recommendations of the respective District Magistrates, it is strange why the Bench court at Chitaldrug where the two Special Magistrates' Courts have not within our experience sufficient work, has not been recommended to be abolished and that no special reason seems to exist to retain the Bench Court at Chitaldrug.

The other members present are also of opinion that from their experience there is not sufficient work for the Special Magistrates' courts at Chitaldrug and that the Bench Court can conveniently be abolished.

Resolved unanimously that the Government be requested to pass immediate orders in order that the said Bench court be abolished from 1st July 1926.

Resolved further, the copies of the above resolution be forwarded to the District Magistrate, Chitaldrug and Secretary to the Government and also the Registrar of the Chief Court, Bangalore.

X X X X

(Sd) M. Ananthiah Chetty,
P r e s i d e n t.

" C O P Y."

[V. APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section C/S

File No. 95/25

Serial No. 29

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 1107 (1)

To

The Secretary Lehitaldwp

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Sir

Date of issue 7/7/26

I am directed to invite reference to the proceedings ~~remarks~~ of the meeting of the members of the Law Association Lehitaldwp held on the 8th June 1926 resolving that the Bench court at Lehitaldwp be abolished, ~~and also to~~ ~~from letter no 124/25-26 dt 9.3.26 in the matter of the abolishing one of the Special magistrates' courts~~ and to state that it has been represented by the Bar Association that there is not sufficient work for 1st and 2nd class courts at Lehitaldwp. I am to request you to kindly

over

Signed by.....

a copy is herewith sent for reference.

E

ISSUE & RESUBMIT.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

~~from the chief Cashier~~
report on this matter with Statistics
as to whether one of the courts could be
abolished and a First class court opened
at Diwanagere, the Bench courts
continuing at both the places

I have etc

—:o:—

sd/ [illegible]

B/
8/7/26 copy to Regr Chief Court [illegible]

[illegible]
6/7

C.D.No 24 P 926

17/10 P 926

Tel: Address:—Dismag, CHITALDRUG. 17156

In reply, please refer to No. P.R. 86
Enclosures.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

Dated Chitaldrug, 6th October *1926*

CB 95-25-23

FROM

S. VENKATRANGAM, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law,
Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja
of Mysore, Local & Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. P. 101 /Cts. 92-25-22 dated 7th July 1926 inviting reference to the proceedings of the meeting of the membe of the Law Association, Chitaldrug, held on 8th June 1926 and calling for a report with statistics as to whether one of the Special Magis-trate's courts in this District could be abolished and a 1st Class - - court opened at Davangere, the Bench Courts continuing at both the - - places, I have the honor to furnish in the margin statistics of the - work turned out by the 3 Special Magis-trates courts newly esta-blished in this District from 1st October 1925 and to state that as can be judged from these figures none of these Special courts seem to have sufficient work at present. The figures furnished relate to a period of only nine months from 1st October 1925 to end of June 1926, but even making reasonable allowances for cases that may be instituted during a period of three months more to make up one year the figures are not likely to reach the standards of disposals for one year viz 200 First class cases and 100 appeals for a first class court and 600 cases for a 2nd class court arrived at by Mr. S. P. Rajagopalachari, Special Duty Officer,

Name of court.	Cases received during 1925-26 from 1st October 1925 to 30th June 1926 inclusive of those transferred from other courts on 1st October 1925.	Dis-posed of	Pending on 30th June 1926
1. Special I class Magistrates court, Chitaldrug	211 Regular cases including the 2nd & 3rd class cases at Chitaldrug Taluk	187	24
	19 Miscellaneous cases under section 145 C.P.C.	12	7
2. Special 2nd Class Magistrate's Court, Chitaldrug	165	140	25
3. Special 2nd Class Magistrate's court Davangere	188	156	32

Officer in his note on the introduction of the Separation Scheme into the State. In the circumstances I beg to recommend that the Special 2nd class Magistrate's court at Chitaldrug may be abolished and the Special 2nd class court at Davangere converted into a First class court, the First class courts at Chitaldrug and Davangere exercising jurisdiction over the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd class cases of the -- taluks as noted in the margin (except over such cases as can be dealt with by the Bench Courts) and the Bench Courts at Davangere and Chitaldrug being retained.

Special 1st class Magistrate's court, Davangere.	Taluks.	
	Davangere	
	Holalkere	
	Hosadurga	
	Jagalur	
	Harihar Sub Taluk	
Chitaldrug	Chitaldrug	
	Chellakere	
	Molakalmuru	
	Hiriyur	

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Ch

File No. 95-25

Serial No. 24

No. F 2153-(2)

To

The Registrar, Criminal Court.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to the proceedings of the meeting of the members of the Law Prosecution Establishment held on the 8th June 1926 (a copy is herewith sent for ready reference) containing the Resolution that it be requested to abolish the Bench Court at Chittagong from 1st July 1926 as there is not sufficient work for the 1st and 2nd class Special Magistrates' Courts at the place

Date of issue 20/11/26

Signed by W Clegg

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 01265--GPB—31,000— 24-12-25

~~An examination of~~ The statistics of work turned out by the Special magistrates' Courts in the Ratnagiri District from 1st October 1925 to 30.6.26 noted in the margin ~~seems to indicate that~~ with a suitable redistribution of territorial jurisdiction, ~~it is possible~~ to abolish one of the Special magistrates' Courts at Ratnagiri and have a first class Court at Dapoli or the Bench Courts continuing at both the places.

Support the observation of the Law Association that the insufficiency of the work for the Courts and it may perhaps be possible,

If the proposal does not clash with the recommendations which the Chief Court intends to make in the Report of the Law's Delays Committee

I am to request you to kindly ~~forward~~ favour me with the opinion of the Chief Court as to whether one of the Special magistrates' Court at Ratnagiri ~~may be withdrawn for some time~~ may not be abolished. In case the Chief Court agree to the abolition, ~~consider that the~~ and some saving effected ~~Court may be abolished~~ the territorial jurisdiction ~~of~~ to be fixed for the remaining Courts may kindly be ~~fixed~~ proposed.

I have the

Yours

31/12/26

39494

2341/P 26

Telgm:
"JUSTICE"

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

Bangalore....3rd...January...*1927*

R. O. C. Dis. No. 120 26-27

Enc 2

@ 95-25-25

From



A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local & Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. P.2155(2) Cts. 95-25-24 dated the 20th November 1926, forwarding a copy of the Proceedings of the meeting of the members of the Law Association, Chitaldrug held on the 8th June 1926, containing a resolution that the Bench Court at Chitaldrug may be abolished, and requesting to be furnished with the opinion of the Chief Court as to whether one of the Special Magistrates' Courts at Chitaldrug may not be abolished and some savings effected.

2. In reply, I am to state that from the statistics of work turned out by the Special Magistrates' Courts in the Chitaldrug District (Vide District Magistrate's letter No.F.R.86 dated the 6th October 1926, copy enclosed), it will be seen that none of the Special Magistrates' Courts appear to have sufficient work at present. The proposal to abolish the Second Class Magistrate's Court at Chitaldrug and have two First Class Courts, one at Chitaldrug and one at Davangere, exercising jurisdiction over cases arising more or less in the present groups of taluks within the jurisdiction of the Second Class Courts of Chitaldrug and Davangere, respectively, appears to be justified by the present number of institutions. The only possible disadvantage of having two First

Class-

Courts in the district will be that appeals from these courts will not lie to the District Magistrate but only to the Sessions Judge at Shimoga and parties will be put to the expense of going to Shimoga to file their appeals, There is the other alternative of abolishing the Bench Court at Chitaldrug as suggested by the Law Association, which proposal appears to be equally suitable.

3. I am to however suggest that if the question of the abolition of any of these Courts is not pressing, it would be better that it is considered along with the general question of either the amalgamation of Civil and magisterial functions, or the re-distribution of territorial jurisdiction among the existing Magistrates in all the Districts with a view to provide sufficient and adequate work to the several courts.

4. In this connection, I am to forward herewith for the information of Government, copy of a letter and Proceedings of an emergent meeting of the Law Association, Chitaldrug, held on 8th December 1926, subsequent to the receipt of your letter under reply, unanimously protesting against the rumoured abolition of the Special Second Class Magistrates' Courts at Chitaldrug and Davangere, and suggesting that the Bench Magistrates' Courts in those places may be abolished.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

M.V. Srinivasaiyangar.

For Registrar.

MNA.

2531

Proceedings of an emergent meeting of the Law Association Chitaldrug held on 8-12-1926 in the Law Association Room.

The following resolutions were passed unanimously by the members:- Mr. M. Ananthiah Setty in the Chair.

1. All the members of the Law Association unanimously and strongly protest against the rumoured abolition of the Special 2nd class courts at Chitaldrug and Davangere and urge the retention of the Special Second Class Courts and the abolition of the Bench Magistrates' Courts in these places for the following reasons:- (a) In pursuance of the Government Order No. P.4582-92/Cts.95-25-16 dated 24-3-1926, the Bench Courts at Chitaldrug and Davangere may be abolished since there are special courts in both the places and there is not sufficient work for these special courts.

(b) The suggested abolition of the Special 2nd Class Courts at Chitaldrug and Davangere and the consequent transfer ence of the jurisdiction of the 2nd and 3rd class cases in these places to the 1st class courts would result in great inconvenience and hardship to the aggrieved party; in the first place the right of appeal which the law allows him to the local appellate authority is totally denied to him, in the second place, even in cases in which an appeal lies to the Sessions Court, at Shimoga, the distance and the cost are so prohibitive that he would rather keep back than have recourse to such a costly remedy and either way, justice is denied to him.

(c) The present anxiety that the Special 2nd Class court at Chitaldrug has not got sufficient work may be obviated by abolishing the Bench Court in this place and by giving this court jurisdiction over 2nd and 3rd class cases of Chitaldrug

Taluk-

in addition to its present jurisdiction.

2. The members of the Law Association are further of opinion that in case the Government are not inclined to retain the Special 2nd class court at Chitaldrug the said court may be located at Chellakere which is in the centre of the four Taluks over which it has got jurisdiction and the position of which affords greater facilities to the litigant public.

3. It is further resolved that copies of the above resolutions be forwarded to the Secretary, Legislative Department to the Chief Court and to the District Magistrate, Chitaldrug.

CHITALDRUG:
9th December 1926.

(Sd) M. Ananthiah Setty,
President, Law Association.

"C O P Y"

For Registrar.

MNA.

1856

Confidential.

No.P.R.86.

Office of the District Magistrate,

Chitaldrug District.

Dated Chitaldrug, 6th October 1926.

From,

S. Venkatarangam, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

District Magistrate,

Chitaldrug District.

To,

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local & Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.P.101/Cts.92-25-22 dated 7th July 1926 inviting reference to the proceedings of the meeting of the members of the Law Association, Chitaldrug, held on 8th June 1926 and calling for a report with statistics as to whether one of the Special Magistrate's Courts in this District could be abolished and a 1st Class court opened at Davangere, the Bench Courts continuing at both the places, I have the honor to furnish in the margin statistics of the work turned out by the three Special Magistrate court newly established in-

Name of Court.	Cases received during 1925-26 from 1st October 1925 to 30th June 1926 inclusive of those transferred from other courts on 1st October 1925.	Disposed of	Pending on 30th June 1926
1. Special I Class Magistrate's Court, Chitaldrug.	211 Regular cases including the 2nd & 3rd class cases at Chitaldrug Taluk	187	24
	19 Miscellaneous cases under section 145 Cr. P. C.	12	7
2. Special 2nd class Magistrate's Court, Chitaldrug.	165	140	25
3. Special 2nd Class Magistrate's Court, Davangere.	188	156	32

this District from 1st October 1925 and to state that as can be judged from these figures none of these Special Courts seem to have sufficient work at present. The figures furnished relate to a period of only nine months from 1st October 1925 to end of June 1926, but even making reasonable allowances for cases that may be instituted during a period of three months more to make up one year the figures are not likely to reach the standards of disposals for one year Viz., 200 first class cases and 100 appeals for a first class court and 600 cases for a 2nd class court arrived at by Mr. S.R Rajagopalachari, Special Duty Officer, in his note on the introduction of the Separation Scheme into the State. In the circumstances I beg to recommend that the Special 2nd class Magistrate's Court at Chitaldrug may be abolished and the Special 2nd class court at Davangere converted into a first class court, the First Class Courts at Chitaldrug and Davangere exercising jurisdiction over the 1st, 2nd and 3rd class cases of the taluks as noted in the margin (except over such cases as can be dealt with by Bench Courts) and the Bench Courts at Davangere & Chitaldrug being retained.

	Taluks.
Special 1st Class Magistrate's Court, Davangere.	Davangere Holalkere Hosadurga Jagalur Harihar Sub Taluk
Special 1st Class Magistrate's Court, Chitaldrug.	Chitaldrug Chellakere Molakalmuru Hiriyur.

I have &c.,

Sd/- S. Venkatarangam,

District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.

" C O P Y "

For Registrar.

MNA.

93 4523

Tel: Address:—Dismag, CHITALDRUG.

In reply, please refer to No. 1185. Enclosures. one.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

Dated Chitaldrug, 31st March *1927.*

T 4
Cas 95-25-26

FROM

D. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, ESQ., B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Law Department, Bangalore.

4.4.27

Sir,

In submitting herewith a copy of the resolutions of the Chitaldrug Bar Association held at their meeting on 8th December 1926 regarding the redistribution of work and changes in the jurisdiction of the Special Magistrates' Courts in this District and in continuation of this Office letter No.P.R.86/26-27 dated 5/6th October 1926, I have the honor to state that on a careful reconsideration of all the facts the following proposals would seem to me to meet the present requirements.

(1) There is not sufficient work for both the Special Magistrates Courts and the Bench courts at Chitaldrug and Davangere. Agreeably to Government order No.P.4582-92/Cts.95-25-16 dated 24th March 1926 the Bench Courts at these places may with advantage be merged in the Special Courts at the respective places all classes of cases arising within their jurisdiction including those hitherto tried by the Bench Courts being entrusted to the Special Courts hereafter.

(2) The Special First Class Magistrate, Chitaldrug has since been invested with the power to hear appeals against the conviction and sentence passed by the 2nd and 3rd class Magistrates. All cases under section 145 C.P.C. have been ordered to be takenup and disposed of by Special 1st Class Magistrates in Government order of 30th April 1926 and as such the jurisdiction of Chitaldrug Taluk in respect of 3rd class cases which the special 1st class Magistrate is now exercising may be withdrawn from him and transferred to the Special 2nd class Magistrate, Chitaldrug.

(3) The special 2nd class Magistrate, Chitaldrug to whom the jurisdiction over the 3rd class cases of the Chitaldrug Taluk will be

transferred may cease to exercise jurisdiction over Jagalur Taluk which will be transferred to the Special 2nd class Magistrate, Davangere as the Taluk of Jagalur is nearest to and belongs to the Revenue Sub Division of Davangere. This Magistrate (2nd class Magistrate, Chitaldrug) may also be invested with power to commit cases under section 206 C.P.C.

This redistribution of work is calculated to distribute the work fairly evenly among the Magistrates of the District.

The existing and the proposed arrangements will be as follows :-

I Special 1st Class Magistrate, Chitaldrug.

Existing.	Proposed.
1.All 1st class cases of the District. 2.2nd and 3rd class cases of the Chitaldrug Taluk excepting such 3rd class cases as are triable by the Bench Court. 3.All cases of the District under section 145 C.P.C. 4.Appeals against the conviction and sentence passed by the 2nd and 3rd class Magistrates. 5.Committal cases of Chitaldrug, Chellakere, Hiriyur, Holakalmuru and Jagalur Taluks	1. All 1st class cases of the District. 2. All 2nd class cases of the Chitaldrug Taluk. 3. All cases of the District under section 145 C.P.C. 4. Appeals against the convictio and sentence passed by the 2nd and 3rd class magistrates

II. Special 2nd class Magistrate, Chitaldrug.

1.2nd and 3rd class cases of Chellakere, Holakalmuru, Hiriyur and Jagalur Taluks excepting such 3rd class cases as are triable by the Bench Courts.	1. All 3rd class cases of Chitaldrug Taluk. 2. 2nd and 3rd class cases of Chellakere, Hiriyur, and Holakalmuru Taluks excepting such 3rd class cases as are triable by the BenchCourts of Chellakere, Hiriyur and Holakalmuru. 3. Committal cases of Chitaldrug Chellakere, Hiriyur and HolakalmuruTaluks.

III. Special 2nd class Magistrate, Davangere.

1. 2nd and 3rd class cases of Davangere, Holalkere and Hosadurga Taluks and Harihar Sub Taluk excepting such 3rd class cases as are triable by the respective Bench Courts. 2. Committal cases of Davangere and Holalkere Taluks and Harihar Sub Taluk.	1. All 2nd and 3rd class cases of Davangere, Hosadurga, Holalkere and Jagalur Taluks and Harihar Sub Taluk excepting such 3rd class cases as are triable by the Bench Courts of Holalkere, Hosadurga and Jagalur Taluks and Harihar Sub Taluk. 2. Committal cases of Davangere, Holalkere, Hosadurga and Jagalur Taluks and Harihar Sub Taluk.

Regarding the representation of the Bar Association that the

the Special 2nd class Magistrate's court at Chitaldurg may be located at Challakere, the Government have once before vetoed the proposal and I am not for reopening the question. It appears to me , however that there are good reasons for believing that long and prohibitive distances from the remote parts of Molakalmuru and Hiriyur in the Chitaldurg Sub-Division and Jagalur and Hosadurga in the Davanagere Sub-Division are preventing parties from taking full advantage of the benefits of their respective Special Courts. To minimise this inconvenience, I would recommend that as in the Shimoga District, the Special 2nd class Magistrate's Courts of Chitaldurg and Davanagere may be made partly itinerant Courts, the respective Magistrates being required to hold their courts for not more than a week or ten days in a month at Challakere and Hiriyur and Jagalur and Hosadurga respectively. This arrangement would afford great relief to the litigant public and financially too it would effect some savings of the travelling allowances now being given to Government officials (such as Police and excise officers) attending these courts as witnesses.

The proposed arrangement may, if Government approve, be tried for a period of one year, the Magistrates being asked to hold their courts in the court halls of the respective Amildar Magistrates subject to such other local arrangements as may from time to time be made by the District Magistrate.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

T. Ramakrishnappa
Treasury Assistant Commissioner
for District Magistrate

Chitaldurg District.

(Absent from Station)

... of the ... meeting of the Law Association, C... ...ldrug 1936 in the Law Association Room.

The following resolutions were passed unanimously by the members:-Mr.T. ...thiah Setty in the Chair.

1.All the members of the Law Association unanimously and strongly protest against the rumboured abolition of the Special 2nd class courts at Chitaldrug and Davangere and urge the retention of the Special Second class courts and the abolition of the Bench Magistrates' courts in these places for the following reasons:- (a) In pursuance of the Government order No.P. 4582-92/Cts 95-25-16 dated 24-3-1926, the Bench courts at Chitaldrug and Davangere may be abolished since there are Special courts in both the places and there is not sufficient work for these special courts.

(b) The suggested abolition of the Special 2nd class courts at Chitaldrug and Davangere and the consequent transference of the jurisdiction of the 2nd and 3rd class cases in these places to the 1st class courts would result in great inconvenience and hardship to the aggrieved party; in the first place the right of appeal which the law allows him to the local appellate authority is totally denied to him, in the second place even in cases in which an appeal lies to the Sessions Court at Shimoga the distance and the cost are so prohibitive that he would rather keep back than have recourse to such a costly remedy and either way justice is denied to him.

(c) The present anxiety that the Special 2nd class court at Chitaldrug has not got sufficient work may be obviated by abolishing the Bench Court of this place and by giving this court jurisdiction over 2nd and 3rd class cases of Chitaldrug Taluk in addition to its present jurisdiction.

2. The members of the Law Association are further of opinion that in case Government are not inclined to retain the Special 2nd class court at Chitaldrug the said court may be located at Challakere which is in the centre of the four Talukas over which it has got jurisdiction and the position of which affords greater facilities to the litigant public.

PP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Chs

File No. 95-25

Serial No. 27

No. 5575 (1)

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To

The Registrar Ch Ch

Sir

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 26/4/27

Signed by M Ross

with reference your letter No D1 Dis. 120/26-27 dt 3.1.1927, I am directed to forward herewith Copy of letter No 1185 dt 31.3.27/1.4.27 from the Dt. Magte, Chitaldrug, submitting proposals for abolishing Bench Courts at Chitaldrug and Davangere and the redistribution of the jurisdiction between Dist Magtes' courts at Chitaldrug

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

and Danangere and to request that the same may be considered along with the question of the improvements in the working of the SS Magistes' Courts, on which the Opinion of the Chief Court has been invited.

Yours

28/4 for Secretary
Law Dept

38 2757 1927

CHITALDRUG.
29th November 1927.

From

The Secretary, Law Association,
CHITALDRUG.

To

The Secretary to Government
Legislative Department
Bangalore

Sir,

I beg to submit herewith a copy of the resolution of the Law Association dated 28th November 1927 regarding the abolition of Bench Court at Chitaldrug in continuation of previous references on the subject, for favorable consideration.

I beg to remain,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

H Srinivasa Jois.

Joint Secretary.

Proceedings of the Special General meeting of the Law Association Chitaldrug, covened to discuss the subject re: the visit of Justice G. Sreenivasa Iyer to this place on the 2nd proximo.

-+++++++++++++++++++++-

X X X

2. Proposed by Mr. H. Srinivasa Jois that the Bench Magistrate's Court at Chitaldrug which has been proposed to be abolished by this Association for reasons mentioned in the resolution in a meeting of this association dated 8-12-1926, has not yet been abolished inspite of repeated representation to the authorities by this association and that the authorities be again moved to see their way to abolish the court as there are no grounds for the retention of this court.

Duly seconded by Mr. W.T. Hanumantha Rao who added that the reasons for the abolision of the Bench Co-urt at Davangere do exist here also to a greater degree.

Resolved unanimously that this is an urgent - - necessity and the authorities be approached with a copy of this resolution and that the matter may also be brought to the notice of Justice G. Sreenivasa Iyer during his visit here.

Sd/- Soundara Raja Iyengar,
President.

Chital rug,
Dated 28-11-1927.

Copy.
Jds. Jois.
Joint-Secretary.
29.11.27.

A. P. F. VI. G1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section C5

File No. 95-25

Serial No. ~~26~~

No. F 3258 (1)

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Regr Chief Court.

Sir,

Date of issue 17/12/27

Signed by M. T. S.

In continuation of this office letter no P 5575/25 95-25-27 & 26-4-1927 re the abolition of the Bench Courts at Chitaldrug and Davanagere I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the Proceedings of the special general meeting of the Law Association Chitaldrug ~~resolving~~ ~~[illegible]~~ the matter of the abolition of the Bench court at Chitaldrug and bringing to the notice of this

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

~~Notice of freemasons &c for their~~

~~resolution during his visit to Whitby~~

and to request you to kindly favour

me with the views of the Urban

Council of the Beach Coast at Whitby

should

~~may~~ be abolished

Thanking you

Yours faithfully

14/11 J. Hawksley

3/

3/11/27

AM

13/12

2589 824

Telgm :
"JUSTICE"

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

Bangalore, 10th December 1928.

Ch 95-25-29

R. O. C. No. 316
~~Dis~~ 28-29

FROM

T. Singaravelu Mudaliar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

B. NAGAPPA, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No. P.3258/Cts. 95-25-29 dated the 17th December 1927 forwarding a copy of the Proceedings of the Special General Meeting ~~of~~ of the Law Association, Chitaldrug, in the matter of the abolition of the Bench Court at Chitaldrug and requesting the opinion of the Chief Court as to whether the Bench Court at Chitaldrug may be abolished, I am directed to state that the Judges agree with the District Magistrate in his opinion that the Bench Court may be abolished. As there is not sufficient work for the Special Second Class Magistrate at Chitaldrug, the abolition of the Bench Court and the circuit system already proposed might, it is expected, keep him fully engaged.

I am to add that, if the Bench Court at Chitaldrug is abolished, the Special Second Class Magistrate may be directed to entertain and dispose of the Second and Third Class Cases now entertained and disposed of by the said Bench Court.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. Singaravelu

Registrar.

VSM.

G.I.S. App. F VI G-2]

I I

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192 .

Date of issue..... 27/12/28

Signed by..... M Asst

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Sec Ch

File No. 95-25

Serial No. 29

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Correspondence ending with letter No. R.O.C 316/28-29 dt 11/12/28 from the Registrar Ch. Court stating that the Judges agree with the District Magistrate of the Chitaldrug in his opinion that the Bench Court at Chitaldrug may be abolished and the work may be done by the Second class magistrate at Chitaldrug

Order Thereon No.

Copy sent for the press for printing

9/1/29

M 9/1

to entertain & dispose of the
Second and Third class
Cases now entertained and
disposed of ~~by~~ by the
said Bench Court.

order he ~~[illegible]~~
You are directed
to direct that the Bench
Court at Chilaldanga be
abolished with effect
from 1st Jan 1929

Subject to the provisions of the

The SM1 Second Class
Magistrate at Chilaldanga
will from the date aforesaid
~~take Cognizance of all classes~~
~~of cases and within~~
exercise in jurisdiction of cases hitherto
triable by the Bench Court. ~~abolished~~
The ~~Bench~~ Chilaldanga is
requested to arrange for
the transfer of the cases pending
on the file of the Bench
Court to the SM1 Second
Class magistrate Chilaldanga

List of enclosure.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0826—GPB—12,500—26-5-27

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(~~Continuation sheet~~ *draft form.*)

~~A~~ Notifn will issue withdrawing the powers conferred on the Hon. Prince Majesty of the ~~Chancellor~~ Prince Consort —

M ~~Jy~~ 8/11 See to Govt Law Dept

To

The Regr Ch. Court

The D.M. Calcutta Corpn

The J.G. of Police

~~The R.C.~~

The Comptr. H Govt

~~Copies to Jail Secy~~

11

15/12

Notification.

In modification of Notification No.P. 468/Cts. 275-24-7 dated 31-7-1925, Government direct that with effect from 1-1-1929 the - Special First Class Magistrate's Court at -- Chitaldrug shall cease to exercise, and the Special Second Class Magistrate's Court at - Chitaldrug shall have jurisdiction in respect of all Second and Third Class cases arising in the Chitaldrug Taluk.

By order,

Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette.

The Registrar, Chief Court.

TD

III

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore 29/11/1928

NOTIFICATION.

Under section 41 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1904, the Third Class powers conferred on Mr. Venkatachar P.T.O. Honorary Special Magistrate of the Bench Court at Chitaldrug in Notification No. P. 1/Cts. 64-27-8 dated 21/7/28 are hereby withdrawn. with effect from the 29th July A.N.

By Order,

for Secretary to Government
Local and ~~Legislative~~ Law Departments

To—The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication in the Gazette.

The Regr. Ch. Court Mysore & Bench Kolar & Transfer Sec.

C208

for Chitaldrug P.T.O

1) Mr A Abdul Rahim

2 " M Gangappa

3 " B. Gundachar

4 " Shamanna

5 " V. Bhimappa

6 Dodda Gallappa

7 - L. Eswarappa

8 - K. S. Raghavachar

9 - Kasi Venkatachala Setty

10. Syed Nadimuddin Hussain.

S. O.

Government of his highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. P. 3415-18—Cts. 95-25-18, dated 27th December 1928.

Abolition of the Bench Court.

Directs the —— at Chitaldrug with effect from 1st January 1929.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. R. O. C. 316— 28-29, dated 11th December 1928, from the Registrar, Chief Court, stating that the Judges agree with the District Magistrate of Chitaldrug in his opinion that the Bench Court at Chitaldrug may be abolished and adding that the Special Second Class Magistrate at Chitaldrug, may be directed to entertain and dispose of the second and the third class cases now entertained and disposed of by the said Bench Court.

ORDER NO. P. 3415-18—Cts. 95-25-18, DATED 27TH DECEMBER 1928.

Government are pleased to direct that the Bench Court at Chitaldrug be abolished with effect from 1st January 1929.

2. The Special Second Class Magistrate at Chitaldrug will from the date aforesaid exercise jurisdiction in respect of cases hitherto triable by the Bench Court. The District Magistrate, Chitaldrug, is requested to arrange for the transfer of the cases pending on the file of the Bench Court on the date above-mentioned to the Special Second Class Magistrate, Chitaldrug.

B. NAGAPPA,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To—The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.
The District Magistrate, Chitaldrug.
The Inspector-General of Police.
The Comptroller to Government of Mysore.

EXD.—P.S.R.N.

W D 1970—GPB—475—21-1-29.